1	
2	MS. WAGGONER: The Pulitzer
3	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: is the person
4	viewing it going to think is talking? You
5	MS. WAGGONER: The Pulitzer Prize
6	doesn't go to the customer or to the subject; it
7	goes to the photographer, and there's a reason
8	for that. That reason is because you are
9	requiring that artist to speak a message. It is
10	their work. It might also be the customer's and
11	the customer can use that.
12	But the First Amendment is broad
13	enough to cover the lesbian website designer and
14	the Catholic calligrapher. The line is that no
15	one on any side of any debate has to be
16	compelled to express a message that violates
17	their core convictions because, as this Court
18	found, it's demeaning to them.
19	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But the line you
20	want us
21	JUSTICE JACKSON: So can I
22	JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm sorry.
23	JUSTICE JACKSON: can I ask you a
24	hypothetical that just sort of helps me to flesh
) E	that out? Degayge I also heard you suggest

- 1 earlier that there's something different about
- 2 race, maybe the person wouldn't sell to someone
- 3 of a different race.
- 4 So -- so suppose -- you say that
- 5 photography is expressive. Can you give me your
- 6 thoughts on a photography business in a shopping
- 7 mall during the holiday season that offers a
- 8 product called Scenes with Santa, and this
- 9 business wants to express its own view of
- 10 nostalgia about Christmases past by reproducing
- 11 classic 1940s and 1950s Santa scenes. They do
- it in sepia tone and they are customizing each
- one. This is not off a rack. They're really
- 14 bringing the people in and having them interact
- with Santa, children, because they're trying to
- 16 capture the feelings of a certain era.
- But precisely because they're trying
- 18 to capture -- capture the feelings of a certain
- 19 era, their policy is that only white children
- 20 can be photographed with Santa in this way
- 21 because that's how they view the scenes with
- 22 Santa that they're trying to depict.
- Now the business will gladly refer
- 24 families of color to the Santa at the other end
- of the mall who will take anybody, but -- and --

- 1 and they will photograph families of color in
- other scenes -- other scenes, so they're not
- 3 discriminating against the families. What
- 4 they're saying is Scenes with Santa is preserved
- 5 for white families and they want to have a sign
- 6 next to the Santa that says "only white
- 7 children."
- 8 Why isn't your argument that they
- 9 should be able to do that? And maybe it is.
- 10 MS. WAGGONER: Because, in the
- 11 photograph itself, the objection is not
- 12 contained in that photograph.
- But, in addition, I think it's
- important to remind the Court that --
- JUSTICE JACKSON: No, no, no, don't
- leave. Sorry. What do you mean? I mean, the
- 17 objection, just like your client's objection, is
- 18 to expressions that violate their own views of
- 19 what is being depicted, and so their view of
- 20 what is being depicted is that a scene with
- 21 Santa and a child on the lap and all of that in
- 22 sepia tone, trying to harken back to the good
- old days, should only have white children in it.
- 24 That's their firm belief. They are not willing
- to take photographs of black, Hispanic, Asian

- 1 children on Santa's lap.
- Why is that any different than a
- 3 situation like this?
- 4 MS. WAGGONER: Because the specific
- 5 objection that you're including is not
- 6 necessarily in that photograph, but even if it
- 7 were, this Court has protected vile, awful,
- 8 reprehensible, violent speech in the past, and
- 9 it has never --
- 10 JUSTICE JACKSON: No, I'm just asking
- 11 you why is the objection of the web designer, as
- 12 Justice both Kagan and Sotomayor's pointed out,
- when we look at your examples, they just say
- 14 things like "Please come to the wedding on this
- 15 day."
- MS. WAGGONER: Precisely. It's an
- 17 invitation to a wedding --
- 18 JUSTICE JACKSON: Okay. So I --
- MS. WAGGONER: -- which --
- JUSTICE JACKSON: -- so -- so, if my
- 21 hypothetical is an invitation to join me in the
- 22 1950s through looking at this photo, you say one
- 23 is different?
- MS. WAGGONER: I say that that same
- 25 clarity of the message isn't in that photo, but